

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/911,123	07/23/2001	Christina E. Colabella	56145473-17	2409
26453 7	7590 11/08/2002			
BAKER & M		EXAMINER		
805 THIRD AVENUE NEW YORK, NY 10022			FELTEN, DANIEL S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3624	
			DATE MAILED: 11/08/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



· Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/911,123 Applicant(s)

Colabella et al

Examiner

Daniel Felten

Art Unit 3624

Pariod (The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
	TO REPLY STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET	TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM				
THE	THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.					
	ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In a date of this communication.	no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the				
- If the p	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within th	ne statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.				
- Failure	to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the pply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of t	ne application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
earned	pply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of t I patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	his communication, even it timely tiled, may reduce any				
Status						
1) 💢						
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This act	ion is non-final.				
3) 🗆	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposi	tion of Claims					
4) 🗶	Claim(s) <u>1-26</u>	is/are pending in the application.				
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.				
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-26</u>	is/are rejected.				
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.				
8) 🗌	Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
	ition Papers					
9) 💢	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)		is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner.				
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) [☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received.				
	2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received in Application No				
;	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Burea	ocuments have been received in this National Stage				
*S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the					
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).				
a) [The translation of the foreign language provisiona	application has been received.				
15)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.				
Attachm	ent(s)					
	tice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).				
	tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
3) [Inf	ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Cther:				

Serial Number: 09/911,123 Applicant(s): Colabella et al (705/35) Page 2 Art Unit: 3624 **DETAILED ACTION Drawings** This application has been filed with informal drawings which are acceptable for 1. examination purposes only. Formal drawings will be required when the application is allowed. Specification 2. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed. 3. The following title is suggested: --Account Status system and Method for Managing a Closing of a User Account--.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371[®] of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

22 23

3

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

Art Unit: 3624

19

20

63 to col. 8, ll. 16).

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection 1 Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being 2 examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 3 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)). 5 6 5. Claims 1, 3, 4 and 13-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by 7 Bachman (US 6,315,196 B1). 8 Claim 1: Bachman discloses a method for managing a closing of an account of a user, comprising: 10 receiving an identifier (account number) of the account of the user (see Bachman, figs. 4, 11 status code 164 and reason code 166, col. 7, ll. 41-62); 12 retrieving status information associated with the identifier (See Bachman, at least, 13 Cancelled enrollment, col. 7, 11. 63 to col. 8, 11. 16); 14 determining from the retrieved status information whether the account is coded to close 15 (See Bachman, at least, Cancelled enrollment, col. 7, 11, 63 to col. 8, 11, 16); 16 and automatically providing from the retrieved status information a reason why the 17 account has not been closed if the account is determined to be coded to close (see Bachman, figs. 18

4, status code 164 and reason code 166, col. 7, ll. 41-62; and Cancelled enrollment, col. 7, ll.

Applicant(s): Colabella et al (705/35) Page 4 Serial Number: 09/911,123 Art Unit: 3624 Claim 3: the step of automatically providing includes automatically providing a text message explaining 2 why the account has not been closed (see fig. 3, 24 Month Notice Program Ended--186). 3 Claim 4: 5 the reason the account has not been closed includes at least one of that the account has an outstanding balance and that a predetermined number of days have not expired from a request to 7 close date to a date of receipt of the identifier (see fig. 3, 24 Month Notice Program Ended--186). 8 9 Claim 13: 10 the identifier is a predetermined account number (See Bachman, col. 7, 11. 52-62). 11 12 Claim 14: 13 the account is a credit card account (see Bachman, Abstract). 14 15 Claim 15: 16 An account management system for managing a closing of an account of a user, comprising: 17 a memory unit for storing status information of the account; 18 a response unit connected to the memory unit and operable to receive an identifier of the 19 account; and 20

Art Unit: 3624

a determination unit connected to the response unit and operable to receive the identifier

from the response unit and to retrieve the status information associated with the identifier from

the memory unit, the determination unit further operable to determine whether the account is

coded to close from the retrieved status information, and

to automatically provide through the response unit a message indicating a reason why the

account has not been closed if the account is determined to be coded to close (see Bachman, figs.

4, status code 164 and reason code 166, col. 7, ll. 41-62; and Cancelled enrollment, col. 7, ll.

8 63 to col. 8, 11. 16).

Claim 17:

The account management system for managing a closing of an account of a user as set forth in

wherein the message is a text message (see Bachman fig. 3, 24 Month Notice Program Ended--

13 186).

3

7

9

10

12

14

15

Claim 18:

The account management system for managing a closing of an account of a user as set forth in

wherein the account is a credit card account (see Bachman, Abstract).

18

17

Serial Number: 09/911,123 Applicant(s): Colabella et al (705/35) Page 6 Art Unit: 3624 Claim 19: The account management system for managing a closing of an account of a user as set forth in wherein the identifier is a predetermined account number (see Bachman, col. 7, 11. 52+). **Claim 20**: The account management system for managing a closing of an account of a user as set forth in wherein the reason the account has not been closed includes at least one of that the account has an outstanding balance and that a predetermined number of days have not expired from a request to close date to a date of receipt of the identifier (see fig. 3, 24 Month Notice Program Ended--186). Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action: (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a

person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be

negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

3

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

Art Unit: 3624

7. Claims 2, 6-12 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Bachman (US 6,315,196 B1) in view of Joao et al (hereinafter "Joao", US 5,878,337). The

teachings of Bachman have been discussed above.

Bachman fails to disclose the step of automatically providing a voice message explaining why the account has not been closed and/or indicating that the account is closed if the account is determined to be closed and/or indicating the determined date.

Joao discloses a transaction security apparatus which may provide automatic notices to an owner or user of a situation, wherein communication to the user can be conveyed via voice message (see at least Joao, col. 39, ll. 42+). It would have been obvious for an artisan at the time of the invention of Bachman to substitute the voice messaging in Joao for the text messaging in Bachman, because such a substitution would be considered art recognized equivalence inasmuch as the substitution of a voice messaging system would provide an alternative means to communicate various reasons for actions that have been taken regarding a user's account. Thus such a modification would constitute a matter of design choice as well as an obvious expedient well within the ordinary skill in the art.

8. Claims 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bachman (US 6,315,196) as modified by Joao et al (US 5,878,337) as applied to claim above, and further in

Art Unit: 3624

view of Longfield (US 5,724,523). The teachings of Bachman as modified by Joao have been discussed above.

Bachman as modified by Joao fail to disclose determining from the retrieved status 3 information whether a refund is owed to the user on the account; and determining an amount of 4 the refund if the refund is determined to be owed to the user. Longfield teaches the 5 aforementioned feature (see Longfield, Abstract). It would have been obvious for an artisan of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to employ the teachings of 7 Longfield to the teachings of Bachman as modified by Joao because and artisan at the time of the invention would have considered the teaching an obvious extension to Bachman as modified by Joao inasmuch as an artisan would have considered the teachings of Longfield as far as 10 accrediting to an account funds to provide credit protection against penalties resulting from an 11 overdraft. Thus such a modification would have been considered an obvious expedient well 12

9. Claims 21-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bachman (US 6,315,196) in view of Joao et al (US 5,878,337) and Longfield (US 5,724,523).

Regarding Claims 21:

13

14

17

18

within the ordinary skill in the art.

- Bachman discloses a method for informing a user of a status of an account (see Bachman, col. 7,
- 20 11. 41-62), comprising:

Art Unit: 3624

1

6

7

15

16

17

18

19

receiving from the user an account identifier (account number);

retrieving status information associated with a closing of the account according to the

- received account identifier (see Bachman, col. 7, ll. 63 to col. 8, ll. 16);
- determining a date the account will close if it was determined that the account is not closed (see fig. 3, 24 Month Notice Program Ended--186);
 - Bachman fails to discloses a voice message system and retrieving status information regarding refund information.
- Joao discloses a voice message indicating at least one of the date the account (see at least Joao, col. 39, ll. 42+). It would have been obvious for an artisan at the time of the invention of Bachman to integrate or substitute the voice messaging, as taught by Joao, for the text message of Bachman because such a integration/substitution would have been an alternative means to communicate account status from the administrator to the user other than textual as well as provide status information to users who may be visually impaired. Thus such a modification would have been an obvious expedient well within the ordinary skill in the art.

Furthermore, it would have been obvious for an artisan of ordinary skill in the art to provide status information regarding a refund of funds within an account, as taught by Longfield because an artisan at the time of the invention would recognize the use of a refund as an obvious extension to the teachings of Bachman inasmuch as such a modification would provide user protective aspects to insure recovery of expended funds for which a transaction could not be

Art Unit: 3624 completed. Thus such a modification would have been an obvious expedient well within the ordinary skill in the art. 2 3 Claim 22: the account identifier is a predetermined account number (see Bachman, account number--136, col. 7, ll. 52+). 7 Claim 23: the account is a credit card account (see Bachman, Abstract). 10 Claim 24: 11 the date the account will close is determined by adding a predetermined number of days to a 12 request to close date (see fig. 3, 24 Month Notice Program Ended--186). 13 14 Claim 25: 15 the date the user will receive the refund is a predetermined number of days after a date the 16 account was coded to close and the account had a balance of zero (see fig. 3, 24 Month Notice 17 Program Ended--186). 18 19 Claim 26: 20

Applicant(s): Colabella et al (705/35)

Page 10

Serial Number: 09/911,123

- The method for informing a user of a status of an account as set forth wherein the date the
- security deposit will be applied to the account is determined by adding a predetermined number
- of days to a request to close date(see fig. 3, 24 Month Notice Program Ended--186).

5 Conclusion

- 6 10. A list of relevant prior art appears below not relied upon in this Office Action:
- 7 US Patents:

4

21

22

23

24

- 8 Teicher (US 5,206,488) Discloses a credit card system including a credit central unit and
- 9 plurality of local units for conducting low cast transactions.
- 10 Kay et al (US 5,247,571) Discloses area wide centrex
- 11 Patel (US 5,774,533) Discloses a method and system for providing a billing directed
- communication service
- Flynn et al (US 5,223,699) Discloses a recording and billing system
- Mitchell et al (US 5,164,981) Discloses a voice response system with automated data transfer
- 15 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner
- should be directed to *Daniel S. Felten* whose telephone number is (703) 305-0724. The
- examiner can normally be reached between the hours of 7:00AM to 5:30PM Monday-Thursday.
- Any inquiry of a general nature relating to the status of this application or its proceedings should
- be directed to the Customer Service Office (703) 306-5631, or the examiner's supervisor

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

- *Vincent Millin* whose telephone number is (703) 308-1065.
 - 12. Response to this action should be mailed to:

Serial Number: 09/911,123

Applicant(s): Colabella et al (705/35)

Page 12

Art Unit: 3624

Washington, D.C. 20231

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

1

for formal communications intended for entry, or (703) 305-0040, for informal or draft communications, please label "Proposed" or "Draft".

Communications via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under 35 U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [daniel.felten@uspto.gov].

All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark on February 25, 1997 at 1 195 OG 89.

14 15

17

16 DSF

October 30, 2002

VINCENT MILLIN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600